

Weekly ReCAP for January 17, 2020

Next CPC meeting February 3, 2020, now starting at 3:00 p.m. (to avoid night driving) at the Volunteer Center, San Andreas. **New members are welcome, and members only will be able to participate in any closed session. Directions below:**

The address for the Volunteer Center is 255 Lewis Avenue, San Andreas, CA (P.O.Box 196, San Andreas, CA 95249)

We share the same address as the San Andreas Elementary School, but we are located on the lower terrace, just down from the school office.

In San Andreas at the blinking light in town, take Main Street (old Hwy 49) past the Metropolitan, across the little bridge and turn right on Lewis Avenue. After about one block, Lewis Ave turns into a one-way street and our driveway is at the bottom of the *steep* hill, at the end of the chain link fence.

BOS meetings January 21- important study session dealing with priorities for General Plan Implementation. Check agenda and staff report online.

BOS - January 28 –no agenda yet

PC- January 23

Group Suing Supes Over General Plan Sends State Notices

[By Tori James](#) / MML / Jan 9, 2020

San Andreas, CA – A Calaveras community advocacy group suing the supervisors and the county over its General Plan Update elevated its concerns another notch.

This week, the Calaveras Planning Coalition (CPC) sent out a notice regarding the county's recent adoption of the updated plan to 18 state and local agencies. General plans, which are required by law for jurisdictions to have and regularly update, are considered as constitutions and roadmaps for local development.

The notice to the agencies is required when a party files a case under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and a similar required notice was issued to the California Attorney General's Office back in December when CPC filed its case. The group deemed the General Plan Update unsafe and legally deficient because it does not adequately address various impacts as some other jurisdictions have and fails to provide timelines for dealing with them.

CPC officials say a required settlement conference in the case is scheduled for Jan. 15 but will be limited to a telephone discussion among the litigants' attorneys.

CPC's notice argued, "Indefinitely deferring fire safety efforts, delaying emergency response funding, and making fire safety measures optional in new development threatens lives. These threats are all part of the 2019 Calaveras County General Plan Update." It requested that agencies encourage State Attorney General Xavier Becerra in the interest of public safety to get involved in the case.

CPC is part of the group's overall Community Action Project (CAP), which promotes its mission to protect and improve the natural and built environments in Calaveras County by empowering people to claim their rights and participate in local government.

CAP Governing Committee member Muriel Zeller comments, "I don't think enough people recognize the extent to which our local General Plan impacts our lives each and every day."

She states that as the document directly affects the condition of local roads, community safety, water quality and quantity, and many other environmental and aesthetic concerns, it is critical to the quality of life.

Among the highlighted concerns in the notice was that most families in the county have to live in homes they can afford regardless of the fire risks. The CPC's position is that it is especially for the health and safety of the families that the county must establish a foundation of fire-safe building and zoning codes upon which all legitimate development is based.

RCRC The Barbed Wire for January 10, 2020

[RCRC Takes Action in Multiple Regulatory Proceedings at California Public Utilities Commission](#)

RCRC kicked off the New Year by engaging in multiple regulatory activities currently taking place at the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). This week, RCRC took the opportunity to make formal comments in the CPUC's Wildfire Mitigations Plan (WMP) Proceeding, De-Energization (or PSPS) Proceeding, and the Self-Generation Incentive Program (SGIP) Proceeding.

On Monday, RCRC filed [comments](#) on the long-awaited evaluative materials for WMPs for the upcoming 2020 WMPs. The CPUC is beginning the transition from an annual WMP process to

a new process per the direction of Assembly Bill 1054 (Holden, 2019), which creates a new Wildfire Safety Division at the CPUC and requires utilities to have three-year WMPs in place.

That same day, RCRC also submitted [comments](#) on the recently-granted motion that utility PSPS after action reports be included in the Proceeding's record. These utility-written reports are lackluster at best and require more insight and scrutiny by the CPUC and public in the future.

Finally, RCRC made a motion for party status in the SGIP Proceeding on December 30, 2019 and filed timely [comments](#) on January 3rd for the Proposed Decision coming up for a vote at the CPUC Hearing on Thursday, February 6, 2020. RCRC supports the Proposed Decision to expand funding for resiliency projects in RCRC member counties with medical needs, as well as critical infrastructure.

Rural Broadband Update

On Wednesday, lawmakers in the House of Representatives overwhelmingly approved a variety of measures that would require the Trump Administration to firm up a strategy on rolling out and securing 5G wireless networks, and ensuring that the U.S. plays a role in setting their international standards. The package of legislation comes as the U.S. works to win the "race to 5G," which will enable a generation of Internet-connected devices and offer mobile data speeds up to 100 times what is currently possible.

The pieces of legislation voted on include: The Secure 5G and Beyond Act ([H.R. 2881](#)); Promoting United States Wireless Leadership Act ([H.R. 4500](#)); the Promoting United States International Leadership in 5G Act ([H.R. 3763](#)); and [H. Res. 575](#), which supports the Prague 5G proposals. While the Congress' recent action is laudable, RCRC remains concerned that these efforts to promote 5G may not be workable in many rural areas of California. As such, RCRC continues to advocate to federal policy makers that deployment of broadband is the most appropriate telecommunications upgrade that should be made for rural areas of the state and country.

Insurance Pilot Program for Hemp Growers

Late last year, U.S. Department of Agriculture's Risk Management Agency (RMA) [announced](#) a new crop insurance option for hemp growers available in select counties across 21 states, including California. The pilot program will be available for hemp grown for fiber, grain or CBD oil for the 2020 crop year.

To be eligible for the pilot program, a hemp producer must comply with applicable state, federal and tribal regulations, have at least one year of experience growing the crop and have a contract for sale of the insured hemp.

U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement Update

On Tuesday, the Senate Finance Committee voted 25-3 to send to the full chamber a bill implementing the U.S.-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA). Senator Chuck Grassley (R-

Iowa) recently stated his desire to get the agreement to a full vote in the next several days, before Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-San Francisco) sends the articles of impeachment to the Senate, which could delay a USMCA vote for weeks.

Senator Grassley stated, “The minute those articles come over, that takes precedence under the rules and under the Constitution.” Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-Kentucky) had said last month that the Senate would not take up the USMCA until after an impeachment vote, but that was before the Speaker announced she was withholding the articles.

SALT Deduction Cap

On December 19th, the House of Representatives passed legislation to eliminate the \$10,000 limit on deductions for State and Local Taxes (SALT) for 2020 and 2021, double the deduction to \$20,000 for couples for 2019, and pay for the changes by permanently restoring the top marginal tax rate for individuals to 39.6 percent.

House Democrats only narrowly approved the bill, which passed by a vote of 218-206. House Republicans submitted an amendment to maintain the SALT cap on deductions for taxpayers earning more than \$100 million per year, and direct the saved money to a \$500 tax break for teachers and first responders. House Democrats overwhelmingly agreed to the amendment and incorporated it into the legislation, despite opposition from liberal lawmakers in the Congressional Progressive Caucus. Representative Mike Thompson (D-Napa) said the motion was accepted “in the spirit of the holiday season.”

Despite passage in the House, Senate Republicans have signaled they will not bring the bill to a vote in the Senate, and the White House has also expressed opposition. As such, the passage in the House was largely viewed as a messaging victory for Democrats, many of whom were elected last year in wealthy, suburban areas where the SALT cap had raised some voters’ taxes.

Surface Transportation

Peter DeFazio (D-Oregon), Chairman of the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, recently reported to POLITICO that his surface transportation bill will not be drafted this month.

He had previously indicated that the bill would be forthcoming in the “beginning of the year,” but responded to questions about an update to its timing by stating “This is like the beginning of the beginning of the year. We're talking about the middle of the beginning of the year... that’s earlier than June but later than January.” He added that he hopes to “release more specifics in the not-too-distant future.”

Appropriations Update

A White House official recently reported that President Trump hopes to send his fiscal 2021 budget request to Congress on February 10th.

This is the first time the Trump Administration will be able to publish its annual tax and spending blueprint for the coming year with certainty of spending levels already established for the current fiscal year.

Bill of the Week: Assembly Bill 315 (Garcia) – Municipal Lobbying Associations

RCRC officially opposed Assembly Bill 315 by Assembly Member Cristina Garcia (D-Bell Gardens). If passed, AB 315 would place burdensome restrictions and reporting requirements on municipal advocacy organizations such as RCRC.

Specifically, AB 315 would:

- Prohibit municipal advocacy organizations from spending moneys for any purpose other than to present information regarding legislation;
- Require municipal advocacy organizations to publicly disclose any expenditures of the moneys; and,
- Restrict municipal advocacy organizations from incurring any travel-related expenses other than an annual conference or other events.

Many municipal advocacy organizations rely on membership dues to fund advocacy programs as well as a number of non-advocacy efforts (i.e. educational activities, charitable promotions, etc.). RCRC and a large number of AB 315 opponents believe the bill would limit advocacy organizations the ability to conduct outreach, seek feedback on policies and procedures, coordinate efforts between counties, recognize innovative county service models, and mobilize support and resources in the wake of natural disasters, among many other services.

Late on Thursday, Assembly Member Garcia withdrew AB 315 from being heard next week in the Assembly Local Government Committee. As such, the bill fails to advance. However, she has proclaimed that she will put forth a new bill in March to address the auditing of municipal advocacy organizations.

AB 315 letters can be accessed [here](#), [here](#), and [here](#). For more information, Paul A. Smith, RCRC Governmental Affairs Vice President, can be reached at (916) 447-4806 or psmith@rcrcnet.org.

Mother Lode Job Training Wins \$512,500 Opioid Crisis Related Grant

By [Tori James](#) / MML / Jan 10, 2020

Sonora, CA – The Mother Lode, identified as a hotbed for opioid-related fatalities, has been awarded over a half-million dollars in federal funding to help mitigate the crisis through job training.

Mother Lode Job Training (MLJT) announced on Friday that it was recently given \$512,500 from the Department of Labor to establish services geared to re-integrating dislocated workers, long term unemployed, and those affected by the National Opioid Crisis into the workforce.

The Central Sierra, which includes Tuolumne, Calaveras, Amador, and Mariposa counties, along with the Merced region and Humboldt County were the three hot spot workforce areas in the state sharing the dubious distinction for high measures of opioid fatalities, that were awarded the competitive grant monies.

According to MLJT Executive Director Dave Thoeny, “The relatively high fatality numbers are not big compared to say, Los Angeles...but with more than 100 deaths from 2013 to 2018 in the region, that is a lot for us.”

The funding will help provide both employment and support services by paying for temporary workers in public or nonprofit organizations, supplementing training of new hires through on-the-job training, or paying for training and support services for those who want to become certified substance abuse counselors.

All services include a thorough assessment of participants’ needs, interests, and abilities, as well as job search assistance and support with housing, transportation and childcare costs when needed.

MLJT Grant Manager Amy Torres says the program is geared to assist those personally affected by opioid issues, it also provides job training to others unaffected by the opioid crisis who were also displaced from their jobs.

A Funding Tool Honed For A Purpose

Torres further explains how some of the applicants come to share their struggles. “Typically what we have found is that when people are interviewed as far as what grant they might qualify for and it comes up that we have one for opioid-related issues, people open up and share because there are a lot of people including professionals who are affected by this crisis.”

Sharing her perspective, drug and alcohol counseling supervisor and trainer Jonna Maynard at Turning Point of Arnold notes, “The opioid epidemic has impacted all people and families in the community and throughout and being a part of the solution to save lives is why we are here. It has had a major impact because more than half of our clientele is opioid dependent.”

Among those she has worked with to train is Rebecca Trammell, who is now a drug and alcohol counselor at Turning Point. Trammell comments, “Being able to get education and experience hands-on with clients has been very rewarding and has given me a lot to learn about this process of recovery.”

Thoeny hopes word of the funding will provide a heads up to local employers. “We are looking for businesses, nonprofits and public agencies that would be willing to hire some of the people who are part of the work program,” he says, emphasizing the incentives: the grant covers paying the employees’ wages, workers comp, and other related employee expenses.

For potential applicants, he describes the program is another opportunity for those who have been long-term unemployed or displaced — whether or not it might have been due to opioid issues — to bridge a job history work gap and get jump-started again.

MLJT, according to Thoeny, receives about a million dollars a year for its operations, which is about a quarter of its budget, which comes from assertively going for and winning grants, such as this latest one.

Public or nonprofit organizations interested in having a worker assist them in addressing the opioid crisis should contact Grant Coordinator Emily Graham at 209 536-4702. The program continues through September and is currently accepting applications at all four MLJT offices.

California Looking To Revamp Bottle And Can Recycling Program

By [B.J. Hansen](#) / MML / Jan 15, 2020

Sacramento, CA — Citing that about half of the state’s recycling centers have closed in recent years, a California Senate committee will review a proposal to require beverage distributors to take back their own cans and bottles.

State’s like Oregon and Michigan currently have the requirement, and many stores there have responded by adding “reverse vending machines” that allow costumers to place empty cans and bottles into and they in turn receive cash or store credit. California is one of 10 states that require consumers to pay a deposit on bottles and cans which can be redeemed.

The end goal of the proposal is to make it easier for customers to return recyclables, rather than toss them in the trash. The Associated Press reports the proposal is receiving some early opposition from groups like the California Beer and Beverage Distributors and the Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries. Proponents cite Oregon as an example of where redemption rates are increasing while many other states, like California, are seeing a decline.

Additional Lawsuit Could Be Aimed At PG&E

By [B.J. Hansen](#) / MML / Jan 15, 2020

Sacramento, CA — As the state's largest energy provider works to emerge from bankruptcy, some new legal action could be filed against the company.

PG&E is expected to face a lawsuit by a Northern California county following its planned power shutoffs last fall and a fire caused by its equipment. The Associated Press reports that the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors voted Tuesday to hire two law firms to pursue related legal action. The county is seeking damages up to \$725-million following the PSPS events and a 2019 fire.

The action comes as PG&E is in discussions with state lawmakers about trying to dig out of a financial hole created by a series of catastrophic fires blamed on its equipment.

Calaveras Chamber Of Commerce Board Hires New CEO

By [Tori James](#) / MML / Jan 15, 2020

San Andreas, CA – A new head business booster is at the helm of one of the Mother Lode chambers of commerce.

Morgan Gace was recently hired during a quick transition by the Calaveras County Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors as the new CEO, replacing Avneet Kaur, who left after only a few months at the post to pursue another opportunity.

In an interview with Clarke Broadcasting, Gace is clearly excited, kicking off 2020 in her new role. “My vision going forward with the chamber is really to get grounded here and connect with my community,” she states. In addition to serving current members and sponsors and working to provide added value, she anticipates reaching out to nonmember businesses as to why they are not part of the chamber and what can be done to better support the county's business community.

Gace, who parlayed a psychology degree and an interest in business into a career in corporate development, trained with the John Maxwell Team, led by its namesake leadership expert and author, using its framework to guide her business communications, leadership and customer

service consulting work. She says she spent the past three years training medical front office and management teams, helping them to improve processes.

A native Californian raised all over the state, Gace grew up in Sacramento, most recently lived in the Bay Area, and worked remotely. She and her husband bought acreage and settled in San Andreas two years ago with plans to develop the ranch property, now home to five dogs and a herd of goats.

“We chose to be Calaveras residents and loved the Old West feel,” Gace confides, adding that her heartstrings to the county go back to visiting grandparents who lived in the Mother Lode and taking field trips to the area. “Every time we came up here and going into local businesses, it was such a difference from the Bay Area, and we looked at a number of properties and locations.”

Chamber President Mark Luster states, “In initial conversations, it became evident that Morgan’s values mirrored the mission of the Chamber: to “promote and foster a healthy community through business advocacy and sustainability.” In addition to enhancing and growing the chamber and advocating for economic growth across the county, Gace will also serve as a legislative business advocate for the community.

Gace will be on hand at the chamber’s annual Installation Dinner Jan. 31 at Ironstone Vineyards. For more information on the event and chamber activities, [click here](#).

County has \$150K in cannabis fees that cannot be spent

Several incomplete applications received

[By Davis Harper](#) / The Calaveras Enterprise / Jan 15, 2020

The county has received \$150,732 in application fees for participation in its new cannabis regulatory program as of Jan. 14, with more appointments with prospective growers in the pipeline, according to officials at the newly formed division of cannabis control.

Commercial cannabis cultivation was legalized in October of 2019 for approximately 190 formerly registered growers who were in good standing with the county’s regulatory program under the 2016 Urgency Ordinance.

The revenues generated by registration fees cannot be allocated until four of five county supervisors vote to authorize a budget transfer. That has failed on 3-2 votes every time the issue has been before the Calaveras County Board of Supervisors, with dissenting votes from District 1 Supervisor Gary Tofanelli and District 4 Supervisor Dennis Mills.

The board did authorize a transfer of \$197,991 from the General Fund in December, but that funding will offer only the minimal amount of support needed to keep the program running by June, when budget hearings for the new fiscal year begin, according to County Administrative Officer Al Alt.

The funding, nearly half of which came out of the County Counsel budget around the time former County Counsel Megan Stedtfeld resigned, is “intended to get us through June, but it is by no means what we need,” Alt said.

Alt said the budgeted positions in the new cannabis control office are all part-time, consisting of an interim program coordinator, an administrative assistant and a department analyst. The office is not yet equipped with computers, furniture and other equipment necessary to run the program, he added.

“We’re doing the best we can to move the program forward, and we’ll keep working through the challenges,” Alt said. “We’ll keep plugging away.”

As far as recruitment, Alt said the priority is filling the temporary administrative assistant position to help collect fees, manage deposits and issue receipts for permit fees, among other tasks. Former county employee Karen Osborn is coming out of retirement to fill the position for about six months, local officials confirmed at the office on Jan. 15.

Alt said the county will likely not issue 150 permits by the end of the year, given staffing constraints and the number of incomplete applications that have been received.

It’s been difficult to find temporary employees from within the county or on eligibility lists of previous applicants, and the county may end up having to open the positions, Alt added.

Of the 66 pre-applications the county has received to date, more than half were incomplete, had minor defects or needed additional documentation, and a few were fraudulent, according to Ethan Turner, the interim director of the department.

Those forms allow the department to determine whether the applicant participated in the Urgency Ordinance regulatory program and had a temporary state license, or whether they have purchased the right to apply from an eligible seller. Reviewing the form also determines whether the applicant has been served with a search warrant by the county sheriff, or an abatement warrant by Code Compliance, among other eligibility factors.

A few applicants submitted paperwork showcasing ownership of the right to apply from those that did not have proof of a temporary state license during the 2016 Urgency Ordinance.

Turner encourages applicants to ask for the registration certificate from someone attempting to sell them a right to apply, and to check on the California Department of Food and Agriculture website to see whether a temporary license had been issued before making the purchase.

Once pre-applications are verified, the county contacts applicants and makes appointments to meet with them, during which the county is to receive an application form and the application fee. Turner said six of those appointments were scheduled for this week, with several more anticipated throughout the coming weeks.

After fees are paid and an adequate premises map is submitted, the county issues a letter of conditional authorization, which signifies that an applicant has met the initial eligibility requirements. The county has issued 12 of those letters thus far.

Once that letter is received, prospective growers can begin the process of applying for a state license from the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA).

Applicants then have to deal with the Department of Fish and Wildlife, the California Water Resources Control Board, CDFA and potentially the Bureau of Cannabis Control before they can receive their state licenses.

The next phase involves review from every county land-use department.

“Planning will have to sign off on threshold eligibility; Code Compliance will verify former cultivation site remediation; the Building Department will ensure that the new proposed site complies with all applicable building and fire code requirements; Environmental Health will ensure that on-site wastewater requirements are satisfied and that the grower is enrolled in any applicable state regulatory programs that apply to their operations; Public Works will receive RIM fees for the new cultivation sites and will also be involved if grading permits, encroachment permits or storm water runoff issues are implicated in the cultivation plan,” according to Turner. “Additionally, the ag commissioner will ensure that every outdoor and mixed-light cultivator that is required to do so is enrolled in the state regulatory programs managed by his department.”

Turner recommends that applicants consult a lawyer before submitting an application to the county.

“Carefully reading the instructions and talking to a lawyer may take more time up front, but it will make the processing of an application much, much faster,” Turner said. “Do not rush to get your pre-application in; make sure it is correct. If you submit a defective or incomplete pre-application, it may take a week or two for us to tell you what’s wrong with it. If it is properly submitted, it’ll take us a few days to schedule you for an application appointment.”

A new office for the department of cannabis control is in Building A at the Government Center, 891 Mountain Ranch Road, San Andreas. It will be open to the public from 10 a.m.-1 p.m. until additional staff members have been brought onboard, Turner said.

For more information, call 754-6070 or visit cannabis.calaverasgov.us.

CPC seeks state Attorney General's support in General Plan lawsuit

[By Davis Harper](#) / The Calaveras Enterprise / Jan 15, 2020

The Calaveras Planning Coalition (CPC), an advocacy group that filed a lawsuit on Dec. 9 against Calaveras County over its General Plan update, is asking California Attorney General Xavier Becerra to participate in the case.

“This case is about saving the lives of Californians,” reads a notice sent by the CPC to 18 state and local agencies on Jan. 7.

The notice asks agencies to encourage the Attorney General to get involved in the lawsuit, which alleges that the Calaveras County Board of Supervisors violated environmental laws when it adopted its General Plan update.

The board, according to the suit, failed to adequately analyze, adopt and implement mitigation measures, failed to analyze policy alternatives, inadequately responded to public comments on the draft environmental impact report and failed to make proper findings of fact. The county also allegedly violated land-use law by approving a general plan with internal inconsistencies, and is allegedly unlawfully withholding a public record, the draft General Plan update from 2011.

“Indefinitely deferring fire safety efforts, delaying emergency response funding and making fire safety measures optional in new development threatens lives,” the notice reads, with reference to the board allegedly not taking recommendations given by the state Board of Forestry and Fire Protection on the county’s draft Safety Element. “Exempting commercial, industrial and residential development for tourists from fire safety reviews and fire safety mitigation measures threatens lives. Allowing residential development by right on parcels no matter how dry, how fuel-laden, how windswept and how isolated from emergency services threatens lives ... These threats are all part of the 2019 Calaveras County General Plan Update.”

The notice goes on to state that many local working-class families don’t have the money or ability to reduce fire risks around their homes.

“Most of the people in Calaveras County have to take the jobs they can get, and live in the homes they can afford, wherever those may be, regardless of the risks,” the filing reads. “Often these people are parents living with young children. It is especially for the health and safety of these families that the county must establish a foundation of fire-safe building and zoning codes, upon which all legitimate development is based.”

In the notice, the group also expressed concerns with the plan’s allegedly insufficient actions to mitigate impacts of agricultural land conversions and its lack of deadlines or priorities for implementation measures for mitigating impacts of development on special species status, traffic, air quality and cultural resources.

The CPC is a program of the Community Action Project (CAP), whose mission is to “protect and improve the natural and built environments in Calaveras County by empowering people to claim their rights and participate in local government,” according to a CPC press release.

“I don’t think enough people recognize the extent to which our local General Plan impacts our lives each and every day,” said CAP Governing Committee member Muriel Zeller, with reference to the plan’s impacts on road conditions, public safety, water quantity and quality, conditions fostering plant and animal life and viewsheds. “That is why the Planning Coalition has been compelled to challenge an unsafe and legally deficient General Plan.”

It’s not unheard of for an attorney general to intervene in litigation over general plan updates. Such was the case for the city of Stockton in 2008, after the Sierra Club filed a suit alleging that planned urban sprawl development in the update would dramatically increase greenhouse gas emissions and have devastating environmental impacts locally, according to a 2008 report in *The Record*.

After then-Attorney General Jerry Brown threatened to join the litigation, the lawsuit was dismissed, and a settlement was reached with the Stockton City Council that required the city to produce a climate action plan. As part of the settlement, the city was to “consider imposing green building standards on the construction of new homes and commercial buildings, reducing greenhouse gas emissions attributable to growth and requiring that at least 4,400 homes be built downtown, with a goal of approving the construction of 3,000 of those homes by 2020,” according to the article.

Builders, developers and local chambers of commerce opposed the settlement, arguing it would increase home costs and scare businesses away from Stockton, the report stated.

An attorney involved in that case was unable to provide a comment on the litigation in Calaveras County.

A representative from the Attorney General’s office could not be reached for comment.

The notice to agencies is required when a party files a case under the California Environmental Quality Act, and the CPC sent a similar required notice to the California Attorney General in December of 2019, the CPC press release states.

A required settlement conference in the case is scheduled for Jan. 15. That will be limited to a telephone discussion among the litigants’ attorneys, according to the release.

The list of recipients of the notice includes the following agencies: Calaveras County Local Agency Formation Commission; Calaveras County Air Pollution Control District; Calaveras County Water District; City of Angels; California Department of Fish and Wildlife; California Department of Food and Agriculture; California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection; California Department of Parks and Recreation; California Department of Transportation, District 10; California Department of Conservation; California Office of Historic Preservation;

California State Water Resources Control Board, California State Lands Commission; Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 5; California Native American Heritage Commission; California Air Resources Board; and the California Office of Emergency Services.
